

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/699,088 10/30/2003		0/30/2003	Dana Ault-Riche	17102-005001 / 25885-1754	7850		
20985	7590	09/19/2005		EXAMINER			
FISH & RIC 12390 EL C		•	WESSENDOR	WESSENDORF, TERESA D			
SAN DIEGO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2 2.2.2, 2 2				1639	1639		

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					9				
		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)					
•		10/699,088		AULT-RICHE ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		T. D. Wessen	dorf	1639					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IN TH	AILING DATE OF THIS of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, huncation. Substitution will apply and will expirely by statute, cause the application.	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be tim bire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status			•						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on .		_					
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final for restriction only								
3)□	_								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-134</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[2]	Claim(s) <u>1-134</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or election requi	rement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objec		-	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
11)	The oath of declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note	ne attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f \square All b) \square Some * c) \square None of:	or foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation	· · · · · ·		ed in this National	Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•	• • •	ed.	-				
	22 22 22 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2								
Attachmen	tie)								
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Particle Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-152)				
S Patent and T	Endamark Office								

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I, claim(s) 1, 5-7, 9-17, 20-24 and 123 drawn to a method of distributing tag molecules and collection of tagged molecules.

Group II, claim(s) 2-4, 8, 18-19, 25-40, 121, 122, 124-132, drawn to a method of distributing nucleic acid molecules among members of a starting library.

Group III, claim(s) 42-54, drawn to a capture system of tagged polypeptides (or antibodies).

Group IV, claim(s) 41, 55-68 and 133-134, drawn to a collection of tag molecules.

Group V, claim(s) 69-116, drawn to a method for capturing molecules.

Group VI, claim(s) 117-118, drawn to a method of identifying modulators.

Group VII, claim(s) 119-120, drawn to a method of sorting molecules or reducing diversity.

The inventions are distinct each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, V, VI and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different methods using different components and steps that produces different results/ compounds with different effects and/or functions. For example, Group I uses other compounds besides nucleic acid. The process of Group VI drawn to identifying modulators contain different process steps from the method of Group VII of sorting molecules for reduced diversity.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to structurally different compounds that have different functions/effects. For example, the capture system of Group III which relates e.g., to biological molecule is different from the collection of tag molecules such as the

Application/Control Number: 10/699,088

Art Unit: 1639

fluorescent moieties for which the capture system may or may not contain. See further the different species encompassed by these different compounds, below.

Page 4

Inventions (III and IV) and (I, II, V, VI and VII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different statutory subject matter i.e., different methods and structurally different compounds.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II-VII, specifically the literature searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A). Starting Library as recited in e.g., claim 25 or claim 41.
 - 1. Nucleic acid (e.g., cDNA)
- 2. Polypeptide (if elected a species is required e.g., antibody or a specific fragment of the antibody)

Application/Control Number: 10/699,088

Art Unit: 1639

- B). Additional agents as recited in claim 51.
- C). Tagged molecules as recited in e.g., claims 73-77.

Page 5

- 1. Nucleic acid
- 2. Peptides
- 3. Antibodies or fragments
- 4. Library of molecules
- D). Capture agents as recited in claim 81.
- 1. Receptors as recited in claim 100 (Note a species is required. The lists below are more of a genus and classed only as species to differentiate one from the other. If there is a sequence, preferably a specific sequence.]
 - a. Cell surface receptors
 - b. T-cell
 - c. MHC peptides
 - d. MHC peptide complexes
 - e. B cell
 - f. ICAMS
 - g. Toll-like
 - h. PPAR ligands
 - i. Ion channels
 - j. Chemokine
 - k. Nicotine acetylcholine
 - 1. Dopamine
 - m. Muscarinic
 - n. Small molecule
 - o. TNF
 - p. IL
 - q. BCAMS
 - r. INF
 - 2. Ligands
 - 3. Drugs
 - 4. Enzymes
 - 5 Modified enzymes
- E). Solid support as recited in claim 85 (the species are in claim 90).
 - 1. Silicon
 - 2. Celluloses
 - 3. Metal
 - 4. Polymeric surfaces
 - 5. Radiation grafted supports

Application/Control Number: 10/699,088

Art Unit: 1639

F). Tagging of capture agents by linking to: (as recited in claim 87)

Page 6

- 1. Electronic
- 2. Chemical
- 3. Optical
- 4. Color-coded labels
- G). Biological particles (cells) as recited in claim 97.
- 1. Immune cells
- 2. Neurons
- 3. Cancer cells
- 4. Bacterial
- 5. Infected cells
- H). Staining (detection means) as recited in claims 113 (
 114).
 - 1. Fluorescent dyes
 - 2. Immunostain
 - 3. Semiconductor
 - 4. Enzyme labels
 - 5. Luminescent labels

Each of the species covered in each of the genus e.g., subgroup A differs in structure and mode of action. A prior art reference anticipating one species would not render obvious the other species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, 41, 42, 69, 117, 119 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPER \$ 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

F.P.: Ochiai/Brouwer Rejoinder form paragraph

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. D. Wessendorf whose telephone number is(571)272-0812. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexitime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (571)272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,088 Page 10

Art Unit: 1639

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.D.

T. D. Wessendorf Primary Examiner Art Unit 1639

tdw

September 14, 2005